Committees: Projects Sub [for decision] CASC [for descision]		<b>Dates:</b> 15 December 2019 29 January 2020
Subject: Walbrook Wharf Replacement Roof Report Unique Project Identifier: 12004	CS 505-19	Gateway 3/4/5: Options Appraisal and Authority to Start Work (Regular)
Report of: City Surveyor Report Author: Ruby Raw		For Decision

# **PUBLIC**

### 1. Status update

**Project Description:** To provide a permanent solution to the water ingress problems on the existing roof and drainage goods which have undergone unsuccessful patch repairs

**RAG Status:** Amber ('Green' at last report to Committee). This is due to the cost and programme increase of the project since GW1-2.

**Risk Status:** Low ('Amber' at last report to committee). This is due to risks reducing as a result of surveys and clarification of works.

Total Estimated Cost of Project (excl. risk): £1,119,295

Total Estimated Cost of Project (Including risk): £1,412,000

Change in Total Estimated Cost of Project (excluding Costed Risk Provision): Decrease of £25,695 since last report to Committee as a result of market testing the scope of works and undertaking surveys

Spend to Date: £30,449

Costed Risk Provision Utilised: £0 (of which £0 amount has

been drawn down since the last report to Committee)

Project Costed Risk Provision (post mitigation): £292,705

Slippage: - 4 months against G1-2

G1-2 programmed completion date - December 2020
 The Neilcott Construction programme offers a completion date of August 2020

### **Progress update**

Since the last Gateway, the project team has explored the viability of all 5 options against the quality, time and budget constraints as set out in GW1-2.

Only Option 2 proved viable; the removal of roof finishes and insulation layers back to the metal deck, removal of redundant ventilation equipment and known asbestos; the replacement of new roof finishes to meet regulations appropriate to the building uses below the roof area.

A detailed scope of works has been developed for Option 2, surveys have been undertaken and a contractor has been procured via compliant tender process.

A full explanation of the reasons for dismissing the other options can be found in **Appendix 4**.

A change register which captures all client design development changes since the GW1-2 Issues can be found in **Appendix 3**.

PT8 Tender Award report is attached as Appendix 5

# 2. Next steps and requested decisions

**Next Gateway:** Gateway 6: Outcome Report **Next Steps:** 

Appoint Neilcott Construction to carry out works.

### **Requested Decisions:**

- 1. Approve additional resources to the next Gateway (6) in the total sum of £1,356,500 for works ,fees and Costed Risk Provision (CRP), giving a total project budget of £1,412,000.
- 2. In respect of the works approved the appointment of Neilcott Construction in the total sum of £994,915
- 3. In respect of the CRP, approve a total sum of £292,705 to be drawn down via delegation to Chief Officer in consultation with the Chamberlain should risk be identified on the Risk Register.
- 4. In respect of the fees and staff cost approve a total sum of £68,800.
- 5. Note revised completion date of August 2020 from the original completion date of December 2020.

### 3. Budget

### **Total Project Budget for recommended Option:**

		Item	Funds/ Source of Funding	Cost (£)							
		Construction works	Additional	£994,915							
		Surveys	Resources for City	£15,000							
		Consultant Fees	Fund properties	£89,880							
		Statutory/ Legal Fees		£4,000							
		Staff fees		£15,500							
		Sub Total		£1,119,295							
		Costed Risk provision (CRP)		£292,705							
		Total Project Budget		£1,412,000							
		Resources approved to date of which £30,449 has been spent to date.		(£55,500)							
		Requested resources up to GW6		£1,356,500							
	Overview of project options	There is only one viable option. Choices are limited as the site constraints, method of working and budget dictate what and how works can be delivered. The options outlined in GW1-2 have been considered and discounted as non-viable. This included:  1. Do nothing 2. Establish if the covered area can be reduced to reflect plans for the space 3. Further repairs that retains the operation status of the facility until 2027.  4. Consider addition of Solar PVs on the roof or floor mounted in open space in addition to addressing the roof. The explanation for this can be found in <b>Appendix 4</b> along with									
	Recommended option	the viability of a green roof which was requested post GW1-2.  Option 2 – details described in Design Summary									
6. F	Risk	Costed Risk Provision Utilised at La Change in Costed Risk since last Gat GW 1-2 New Costed Risk Provision: £292,70 Further information available in the and options appraisal matrix.	ateway: None 05	e was provided							
	Procurement approach	The scope of works has been tende the City's Major Works Framework.	red via mini c	competition on							

	Successful contractor: Neilcott Construction.
	PT8 Tender Award report is attached as <b>Appendix 5</b> .
	Contract Particulars are:
	<ul> <li>LAD's at the rate of £1,000 per day</li> <li>Retention 5%</li> <li>Level of cover £5m each and every claim or series of</li> </ul>
	claims arising out of one event
	<ul> <li>Performance Bond not required</li> <li>Parent Company Guarantee may be required</li> </ul>
	Third Party Rights and Collateral Warranties are set out in the Contract Particulars
8. Design summary	Removal of roof finishes and insulation layers back to the
or Doorgin cummury	metal deck, removal of redundant ventilation equipment and known asbestos, replacement of new roof finishes to meet regulations appropriate to the building uses below the roof area.
	Health & Safety features; such as edge protection allowing safe access
	The product will have a 20-year guarantee and have been
	designed to serve the existing building uses which are
	bound to leases and service agreements which conclude in September 2027. The waste transfer aspect will remain in
	perpetuity.
9. Delivery team	Main Contractor: Neilcott construction
	Project Management: Inco Projects,
	Principle Designer: Potter Raper & Partners     Coat Consultant: Condense:
	<ul><li>Cost Consultant: Corderoy</li><li>Water ingress ceases with improved condition of the property</li></ul>
10. Success criteria	as a result.
	<ul> <li>Access and egress to the roof is made compliant and reduces H&amp;S risks for future maintenance tasks.</li> </ul>
	No impact on existing operations at Walbrook Wharf and tenants.
11. Progress reporting	The contractor will provide regular meetings on site with the
3 23 3 4	project team.
	<ul> <li>Project Board reports will be produced on a monthly basis for reporting to the Senior Responsible Officer and relevant stakeholders.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Project Vision updates will be provided on a monthly basis for members review.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Issues reports will be submitted should a scope/ time/ cost change occur which requires member consideration and approval.</li> </ul>
	Should an urgent issue require notification/ consideration and approval, an email to Chairmen and Deputy Chairmen of Project Sub committee and Corporate Asset Sub committee.

### **Appendices**

Appendix 1	Project Coversheet
Appendix 2	Risk Register
Appendix 3	Change Register
Appendix 4	Rational for discounting Options outlined in GW1-2
Appendix 5	PT8 – Procurement Tender Award Report

### **Contact**

Report Author	Laura Frickey
Email Address	Laura.Frickey@cityoflondon.gov.uk
Telephone Number	0207 332 1030

### **Options Appraisal Matrix**

Ор	tion Summary	Option 2					
1.	Brief description of option	This includes the removal of roof finishes and insulation layers back to the metal deck, removal of redundant ventilation equipment and known asbestos; the replacement of new roof finishes to meet regulations appropriate to the building uses below the roof area.					
2.	Scope and exclusions	<ul> <li>The works apply to areas known as Phase 1 and 3 only – not Phase 2, the offices facing onto Upper Thames Street.</li> <li>H&amp;S features such as edge protection, safe access for maintenance, lightning conductor etc. will be installed.</li> <li>The works will have a 20-year guarantee and have been designed to serve the building uses which are bound to leases and service agreements which conclude in September 2027. The waste transfer aspect will remain in perpetuity.</li> <li>Includes removal of redundant ventilation units</li> <li>Includes roof gutters but not internal down pipes as this scope overlaps with a concurrent project. This has been omitted to avoid duplication.</li> </ul>					
Pro	oject Planning						
3.	Programme and key dates	Mobilise contractor supply chain and logistics: December 2019  Start on site: January 2020  Completion: August 2020  GW6: October 2020					
4.	Risk implications	Overall project option risk: Medium The most significant risks are					

Ор	tion Summary	Option 2
		<ul> <li>Negative impact on existing building tenants and on concurrent building projects being undertaken at Walbrook Wharf.</li> <li>Time delay and cost impact due to any necessary additional work to remediate the above.</li> </ul> Further information available within the Risk Register (Appendix 2)
5.	Stakeholders and consultees	Internal:  Building and Facilities Manager at Walbrook Wharf City Surveyors Operations dept Chamberlains Finance department Chamberlains Procurement department City Surveyors Property Projects Group Director and Assistant Director City Surveyors Corporation Property Group CoL building tenants at Walbrook Wharf  External: Occupying tenants CoL Highway Authority Walbrook Wharf neighbouring buildings CoL Building Control
6.	Benefits of option	There is only one viable option. Choices are limited for this project as the site constraints, requirements for ways of working and budget limit and dictate what works can be undertaken and the way in which the works can be deliver.
7.	Disbenefits of option	As above

Ор	tion Summary	Option 2						
	source olications							
8.	Total estimated cost	Total estimated project cost (excl. risk): £1,119,295 Total estimated cost: (incl. risk): £1,412,000						
9.	Funding strategy	Additional Resources for City Fund Properties						
10.	Investment appraisal	Not applicable. The building (phases 1 and 3) are occupied by service companies, contracted to the City, for whom fit for purpose accommodation is to be provided free of cost to the contractor.						
11.	Estimated capital value/return	Not applicable.						
12.	Ongoing revenue implications	This should reduce the costs and need for local and patch repairs which have been necessary in recent months						
13.	Affordability	It is anticipated that all project costs can be contained within the budget including identified Risks listed in the risk register.						
14.	Legal implications	None						
15.	Corporate property implications	<ul> <li>The project supports the recommendation set out in the medium term asset management plan approved by CASC on 5 October 2017 of making the best use of the operational freight wharf and waste transfer station up to the block date of Sept 2027.</li> <li>The project meets the City Surveyor's Business Plan objectives of:</li> </ul>						

Option Summary	Option 2
	<ul> <li>i. Implementing an asset management strategy that unlocks the potential of the City's property assets in a way that supports the efficient delivery of the Corporate Plan and Service Departments' business plans.</li> <li>ii. Ensuring buildings are fit for purpose, sustainable, safe and secure.</li> </ul>
16. Traffic implications	The contractor is likely to apply for the suspension of parking bays adjacent to Walbrook Wharf
17. Sustainability and energy implications	The brief included options to explore the installation of Photovoltaic panels and, post GW1-2, the addition of a green roof. Both were financially unviable and would have delayed the programme. Further detail can be found in Appendix 4.
18. IS implications	N/A
19. Equality Impact Assessment	N/A
20. Data Protection Impact Assessment	N/A
21. Recommendation	Recommended

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy matches that of the one on-line.

## **Project Coversheet**

### [1] Ownership & Status

**UPI:** 120004

Core Project Name: Walbrook Wharf Replacement Roof Report

Programme Affiliation (if applicable): Works approved for utilisation of the

Additional Resources for City Fund properties

Project Manager: Laura Frickey

**Definition of need:** To provide a permanent solution to the current roof which has undergone patch repairs but does not resolve the leaking roof and rainwater goods failings. Provide a better working environment for tenants occupying the building and prevent need for repair works and cost to address deterioration of internal and external affected areas.

### **Key measures of success:**

- Permanently halt leaks occurring as a result of deterioration of the roof and drainage goods connections, thereby providing a better working environment for tenants occupying the building and prevent need for repair works and cost to address deterioration of internal and external affected areas.
- 2. Operations of the building will not be adversely affected by the works associated with delivering this project.

**Expected timeframe for the project delivery:** May 2018 - December 2020 **Key Milestones:** 

Are we on track for completing the project against the expected timeframe for project delivery?

• The works will be completed in August 2020, 4 months ahead of the December 2020 completion date approved at GW 1-2.

Has this project generated public or media impact and response which the City of London has needed to manage or is managing?

No public or media impact has been generated by this project.

### [2] Finance and Costed Risk

1. Headline Financial, Scope and Design Changes:

Only one option outlined in GW1-2 was considered viable (Option 2); this was taken forward. A full explanation is appended to the report (Appendix 4).

### 'Project Briefing' GW1-2 report (as approved by Chief Officer 16/05/2018):

- Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk): £1.1m
- Costed Risk Against the Project: £0
- Estimated Programme Dates:

GW3-4: September 2018

GW5: January 2019

Completion: December 2020

Scope/Design Change and Impact:

No impact from scope / design change from G1-2

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy matches that of the one on-line.

6 months delay to new assigning Project Manager resource from approval of GW1-2 in March 2018.

### 'Project Briefing' GW1-2 Issues report (as approved by PSC 16/03/2019):

Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk): £1.1m

Resources to reach next Gateway (excluding risk): £39k

• Spend to date: £3,510

Costed Risk Against the Project: £318K

CRP Requested: £0CRP Drawn Down: £0

• Estimated Programme Dates: completion December 2019

### Scope/Design Change and Impact:

- 1. Approved to tender the works to complete in one contract rather than separate phases over a year as previously suggested in the GW1-2 report.
- 2. Approved to receive a combined GW3-4 Options Appraisal and GW5 Authority to start work report to consider market tested options.
- 3. A costed Risk Register was included for information.
- Approved Delegated Authority for GW3-4-5 report in October 2019 as reporting deadlines could not be met due to delays to obtain key project information.

### 'Options Appraisal & Authority to start Work' G3-4-5 report

- Total Estimated Project Cost (excluding risk): £1,119,295
- Total Estimated Project Cost (including risk): £1,412,000
- Resources to reach next Gateway (excluding risk): £1,356,500
- Spend to date: £30,449
- Costed Risk Against the Project: £292,705 (included in total estimated project cost)
- CRP Requested: £0
- CRP Drawn Down: £0
- Estimated Programme Dates: completion August 2020

### Scope/Design Change and Impact:

- 2. Only one option outlined in GW1-2 was considered viable (Option 2); this was taken forward. A full explanation is appended to the report (Appendix 4).
- Additional small scopes of works were included to meet H&S
  requirements and redundant structures on the roof which could increase
  the risk of continued water ingress. The changes are captured in
  Appendix 4 of the main report
- 4. Omission of rainwater down pipe replacement from the scope. This overlapped with a concurrent project scope.
- 5. Nesting birds on the roof delayed the undertaking of surveys and receipt of information by 4 weeks.
- 6. Resolving a large number of clarifications which resulted from the tender evaluation process.
- 7. The programme at GW 1-2 Report estimated completion of December 2020 therefore this has resulted in a X month saving against the original programme.

into har	ument can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is print copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy match e one on-line.	
inat or t	office of time.	

City of London: Projects P	rocedure Corpo	orate Risks Register	• •								
•	: Walbrook Wh	art									
Unique project identifier:	24800026										
Total est cost (exc risk	)£0										
				(	Corporate Risk I	Matrix score tab	le				
PM's overall risk rating	Medium			Minor impact	Serious impact	Major impact	Extreme impact				
Avg risk pre-mitigation	9.1	Likely		4	8	16	32				
Avg risk post-mitigation	5.3	Possible	<b>;</b>	3	6	12	24				
Red risks (open)	4	Unlikely	7	2	4	8	16				
Amber risks (open)	9	Rare		1	2	4	8				
Green risks (open)	3										
				•							
Costed risks identified (All	l)	£583,000.00	0%	Costed risk as %	6 of total estimat	ed cost of proje	ct				
Costed risk pre-mitigation	(open)	£583,000.00	0%	11 11							
Costed risk post-mitigation	n (open)	£292,700.00	£292,700.00 0% " "								
Costed Risk Provision req	uested	£0.00 0% CRP as % of total estimated cost of project									
		Number of Open Risks	Avg Score	Costed impact	Red	Amber	Green				
(1) Compliance/	Regulatory	6	8.7	£238,000.00	0	6	0				
(2) Financial		1	3.0	£20,000.00	0	0	1				
(3) Reputation		1	16.0	£25,000.00	1	0	0				
(4) Contractual/	•	8	9.4	£300,000.00	3	3	2				
(5) H&S/Wellbei		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0				
(6) Safeguarding	9	0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0				
(7) Innovation (8) Technology		0	0.0	£0.00 £0.00	0	0	0				
(9) Environment	al	0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0				
(10) Physical	<b>.</b> .	0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0				
				Extreme	Major	Serious	Minor				
Issues (open)	0	Open	Issues	0	0	0	0				
All Issues	0	All	Issues	0	0	0	0				
Cost to resolve all (on com		£0.00		Total CRP u	sed to date	£0.00					

<u>Cit</u>	y of Lond	on: Projects Pr	rocedure Corporat	e Risks Register																			
	Pi	roject Name:	Walbrook Whar	f				PM's overall risk rating:	Medium		CRP requested this gateway			unmi	Average tigated risk			9.1			Open Risks	16	
U	nique pro	ject identifier:	24800026			1	Total estimated cost (exc risk):	£ -		Total CRP used to date	£ -		Average mitigated		e				c	Closed Risks	1		
Ger Risk ID	eral risk clas Gateway	ssification Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classificati n pre- mitigation	Impact Classificatio n pre- mitigation	Risk score	Costed impact pre- mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigation actions Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	Likelihood Classifica ion post- mitigation	Classifica tion post-	Costed impact post- mitigation (£)	Mitiga	CRP used to date	Use of CRP	Ownership Date raised	& Action Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Risk owner I (Named Officer or External Party)	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to	Comment(s)
R1	3	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Consultant Apointment & Surveys: Structural Integrity of Roof	COST/ TIME- Unknown if the existing roof has structurally capacity to support green	Likely	Serious	8	0.00	Y - for mitigation costs	A – Very Confident	Quote received from Structural Engineer to carry out initial calculations.	£0.00	Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00	N/	a 02/08/2019			05/11/2019	No long applicable for the risk
R2	3	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Consultant Appintment 8	COST/ TIME- Seagulls nesting on existing roof- Nestling season nearly finshed, however seeking advise if Ecology baseline report is required. This could impact programme and an addendum to the Tender Documents needing to be	9 Possible	Serious	6	£3,000.00	Y - for mitigation costs	B – Fairly Confident	Seeking advise from Ecology consultant Explore a Hawks Kite for milligation (not proven to work)	£3,200.00	Possible	Minor	£0.00	3	£0.00	N/	'a 02/08/2019				CoL laising with Ecology Consultant to seek advise if survey is required
R3	3	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Survey- Asbestos Survey	COST/TIME- Due to nesting seagulis the Asbesto survey has been delayed. This could impact programme and an addendum to the Tender Documents needing to be issued	Likely	Serious	8	£10,000.00	Y - for mitigation costs	B – Fairly Confident	FM Manager (Alan) is checking the roof to see if the seagull have left the nest- We envisage this to happen in the next few weeks. Alan will notify the Asbesto survey and they will proceed at there earliest convenience	£2,500.00	Likely	Serious	£0.00	8	£0.00	N	'a 02/08/2019				InCo (PM) Liasing with Asbestos Survey Consultant for a prompt mobilisation
R4	2	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Planning Permission by the Contractor- Green Roof	COST/ TIME- If green roof is required, planning permission will be required	Likely	Serious	8	£0.00	N		CoL (Ruby) is liasing with CoL Planning department to seek internal advise/ lead times Create a schedule listina		Likely	Serious		8	£0.00	N/	a 02/08/2019				
R5	4	(4) Contractual/Par thership	Tender documentation- collating sufficient information/ defining the scope contractually	COST-Ambiquity of the scope based upon the design information provides	Likely d	Major	16	£40,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	C – Uncomfortable	Create a schedule isting all information required for the Tender Document and seek to obtain all information. The Tender Document will require the tenderers to carry out onsite surveys during the tender period, therefore proposed a mid tender interview at the site to encourage a thorough scope is proposed by the	£30,000.00	Possible	Serious	£40,000.00	6	£0.00	N	'a 02/08/2019				
R6	4	(4) Contractual/Par tnership	Programme slippage- Pre Tender	TIME- Delays due to late 1) Asbesto survey- already scheduled to be carried ou as addendum to the tende 2) Structural survey report 3 Ecology Survey Report 4) Tendering in holiday seasor		Major	16	£0.00	N		Reschedule programme to aim for the October 2019 sign off. Entire team has access to the Programme as it is cloud based		Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00	N/	'a 02/08/2019				
R7	4	(4) Contractual/Par tnership	Concurrent projects-There are a number of projects being carried out at Walbrook Wharf.	Time/ Cost-There is risk of projects impacting each other i) Logisitcally ii) Scope interfaces	Likely	Major	16	£25,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	C – Uncomfortable	Workshops have been carried out to understand what concurrent projects will be carried out- all key inclividuals are aware that impacts will ripple into other projects, so a collaborative approach will be undertaken	£5,000.00	Likely	Serious	£7,000.00	8	£0.00	N	a 02/08/2019				
R8	6	(4) Contractual/Par tnership	Ensuring Roof Warranty can be maintained post completion and despite later projects with interfaces to the roof	impacting each other i.e. Scope interfaces and s impact on the roofs warranties	s Likely	Serious	8	£25,000.00	N		Workshops have been carried out to understand what later projects will be carried out- acknowledged by Col. that this is likely of an other project having an interface with the roof over the next 10 years	£0.00	Likely	Serious	£5,000.00	8	£0.00	NJ	a 02/08/2019				
R9	5	(3) Reputation	Existing/ Occupied Environment-Impact on stakeholders	Water penetration whist works being carried out     Noise     I cognitical issues     All parties keeping informed of works being carried out     Stadiocent buildings	Likely	Major	16	£25,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	C – Uncomfortable	CoL Code of Conduct has been included in the Tender Documents	£0.00	) Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00	N/	'a 02/08/2019				
R10	2	(4) Contractual/Par tnership	Programme slippage- Contract	Planning duration- Green Roof	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00	N		Awaiting for Structural Survey to confirm whether green roof is feasible	£0.00	Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00	N/	'a 02/08/2019				
RII	5	(4) Contractual/Par tnership	Weather	Inclement / adverse weather incurred during the works	e Possible	Serious	6	£75,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	C - Uncomfortable	Explore temporary roof - potential significant cost. Explore completing works in summer. Allowance based on £2.5k a week and 2 week delay	£5,000.00	Possible	Minor	£50,000.00	3	£0.00						
R12	3	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Excessive Insurance Requirements	Tenderers may not be able to be insured for CoL levels on this specific project	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00	N		Explore reducing level of PI for Contractor	£0.00	) Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00						

R13	5 (4) Contractual/Par tnership	Contractor Extension of Time claim	Various reason in line with contract as applicable events where Contractor entitled to charge	Possible	Minor	3	£100,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	<sup>†</sup> C – Uncomfortable	Close programme / progress review during works. Early Warning system in place in the contract	£20,000.00	Unlikely	Minor	£50,000.00	2	£0.00			
R14		Scope Creep - interface with rainwater goods, lightening conductor etc.	Additional works added to main works for ease but could muddy clear defined works packages	Likely	Minor	4	£35,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	† C – Uncomfortable	Strict Change Control process in place in line with PPG requirements. Time and costs impact to be highlighted within change control forms	£20,000.00	Possible	Minor	£5,000.00	3	£0.00			
R15	5 (2) Financial	Design Team Fees Claims	Various reasons in line with contract for prolongation or additional fee cost due	Possible	Minor	3	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	† B – Fairly Confident	Manage any prolongation, additional works claims. Ensure change control in place for any scope change leading to fee claim		Possible	Minor	£25,000.00	3	£0.00			-
R16		Licenses / Statutory Approval delays	Delay to works due to licenses / approvals not being in place	Possible	Major	12	£25,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	† D – Very Uncomfortable	Early involvement with PLA, Enviornmental Health and check any other requirements due to proximity of roof replacement by river	£1,500.00	Unlikely	Serious	£10,700.00	4	£0.00			-
R17		Contamination Found Post Survey	Abestos not picked up on surveys, lead paint etc.	Possible	Major	12	£200,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	† C – Uncomfortable	Explore any further surveys required and hold risk amount for any uncoverings	£15,000.00	Unlikely	Serious	£100,000.00	4	£0.00			-

### Appendix 3

### Change Register:

No	No Request Change Requested By		Description of change
1	15/2/19	Client	Proposals to consider Flood Risk - Take forward Contractor option to meet this design solution including a green roof
2		Client	Removal of redundant ventilation systems on the roof
3	9/7/19	PM	Nesting seagulls found on roof which will delay pre-tender surveys.
4	4 23/7/19 Client		Inclusion of lightning protection
5	23/7/19	Client	Discount Solar PVs as an option to include in the scope.
6	08/08/2019	Client	Omission of rainwater downpipes from scope for tender to avoid overlapping with concurrent project undertaken by Ops team.
7	19/09/2019	Client	Omission of glazing replacement
8	19/09/2019	Client	Omission of Green roof from the Tender documentation
9	01/10/19	PM	Resolving Clarifications resulting from contractor evaluation process has resulted in a 1 month delay.

Appendix 4
Reasons for discounting options set out in Gateway 1-2

\*(change request since GW1-2 approval)

Option	Findings:
1. Do nothing	Discounted: As this does not address the brief
Establish if the covered area can be reduced to reflect future plans for the space	<b>Discounted:</b> No changes of any certainty were established to develop the scope of works to reflect changes in the future.
3. Further repairs that retains the operation status of the facility until 2027	Discounted:  Patch repairs which had been completed to date have been ineffective and there was no reason to suggest that further patch repairs would better resolve the water ingress until 2027.
4. Consider addition of Solar PVs on the roof or floor mounted in open space in addition to addressing the roof.	<ul> <li>Discounted:</li> <li>The addition of PVs was explored. The following conclusions were made:</li> <li>Surveys were undertaken to ascertain the structural integrity of the existing roof frame. The analysis proved that the roof was near it is maximum loading capacity and that additional structure will need to be designed and installed to support the additional weight of PVs. As the additional structure is not designed or market tested, it could not be priced but estimates suggest that costs be in the region of £200k +.</li> <li>This could not be accommodated in the budget.</li> <li>A return on investment calculation was also undertaken, taking into consideration the buildings existing use and leases are only guaranteed to continue until 2027, the investment would only produce a return after 10 years and therefore none could be achieved within this time frame.</li> </ul>

Progressed: This Option was considered viable and taken forward.
Discounted: The following conclusions were made: Surveys were undertaken to ascertain the structural integrity of the existing roof frame. The analysis proved that the roof was near it is maximum loading capacity and that additional structure will need to be designed and installed to support the additional weight of a green roof.
This will require the appointment of consultants to design the structure, develop drawings for tender and a Planning application for submission. The determination period would be 8 weeks.  This cannot be accommodated in the timelines for the project and will likely result in a completion date in mid-2021.  The approximate cost of installing new supporting structure and a green roof would cost the project approximately £1.3m. There is no provision for this in the budget, nor can it be funded from the
T CTSpbTaTdT

### **PT8 - Tender Award Report**

This document is used to summarise the procurement process



Report Title	Wallbrook Wharf Major Works
Report Author	Christina Paraskevaidou
Report Date	12/11/2019
Procurement Reference	itt_COL_11051

Key Area	Outcome
Purpose of Report	Authorisation to award tender
Tender Process	Mini Comp under Internal Major Works General Lot 1 framework
Proposed Provider	Neilcott Construction
Cost (inc. VAT)	£994,915.09 with provision of additional £18,000 if the works start late
Potential Risks	No risks identified

#### **Detailed Summary**

#### 1. Summary

Details of what needs to be approved and a list of key areas covered by the report

Approve the award to Neilcott Construction, who was the supplier with the highest score after the evaluation of the mini comp.

#### 2. Recommendations

Details of who the contract is to be awarded to, proposed contract term, extensions and other relevant details

Contract to be awarded to Neilcott Construction Contract duration December 2019-June 2020

#### 3. Current Service Provision

Details of current supplier, contract arrangements, expiry dates and potential exit issues.

Not applicable

### 4. Evaluation Summary

Overview of the tender process including SQ and ITT outcomes; evaluation criteria and weighting, evaluation outcomes including overview of the top 3 ranked suppliers.

Criteria Weighting (%)

Technical Score Weight 40%

Commercial Score Weight 60%

Question Number	Question	Word Count	Weighting %
1.	Provide a detailed Logistical Plan and Method Statement in how the project will be delivered	N/A	25%
2.	Provide examples of carrying out similar roofing projects; inclusive of comparable constraints such as logistical issues & existing tenants/ life working environment.	N/A	25%
3.	Provide examples of likely problem you envisage to incur and measures to overcome them, plus evidence of resolving problem/issues on similar projects	N/A	25%
4.	Provide Health & Safety records for the past 2 years	N/A	25%
Total			100%

- Average price: £1,008,746.21
- Average price vs the winning bidder: £1,008,746.21 vs £994,915.09
- Technical scoring for both suppliers can be found on the appendix
- Timeline for the tender process: Mini comp was live from 21/08/2019 until 19/09/2019. The evaluation of the responses has been completed by the end of October 2019.

### 5. Savings, efficiencies and benefits

Pricing overview, including cost type (fixed cost, schedule of rates etc) and cashable and non-cashable savings achieved.

Not applicable on this tender

#### 6. Lessons Learnt

No further lessons learnt

### 7. Contract Management Plan

Details of persons managing the contract covering roles and responsibilities of individual staff.

**Ruby Raw** 

**Project Manager** 

Ruby.Raw@cityoflondon.gov.uk

8. Approval Sign Off	
Name of Approver	Michael Harrington
Position	Senior Category Manager
Date approved	12/11/2019
Approver comments	

Evaluation Spl	it				
Quality	40	1			
Price	60	]			
Overtine		nical Evalua		N. H. au Constanting	
Question	Weight	Evaluator	Sykes & Son	Neilcott Construction	
Provide a detailed Logistical		Ruby Raw	15		
lan and Method Statement in	25	Laura Frickey	15		
how the project will be		James Spencer	10	20	
delivered		Moderated	15		
Provide examples of carrying		Ruby Raw	15		
out similar roofing projects;	25	Laura Frickey 15		15	
inclusive of comparable	23	James Spencer	15	20	
constraints such as logistical		Moderated	15	15	
Provide examples of likely		Ruby Raw	10	20	
problem you envisage to incur	25	Laura Frickey	10	20	
and measures to overcome	25	James Spencer	10	20	
them, plus evidence of		Moderated	10	20	
them, plus endence of		Ruby Raw	0	0	
		Laura Eriakov	0	0	
Provide Health & Safety	O.F.	Laura Frickey	U	-	
Provide Health & Safety records for the past 2 years	25	James Spencer	10	20	

### **Technical scores:**

Weighted Total Must total 100

100

Question	Weight	Evaluator	Sykes & Son	ott Construc
		Ruby Raw	3	4
Desiride and shall and shall Discount Marked Obstacles in		Laura Frickey	3	4
Provide a detailed Logistical Plan and Method Statement in how the project will be delivered	25	James Spencer	2	4
		Moderated	3	4
Provide examples of carrying out similar roofing projects;				_
inclusive of comparable constraints such as logistical issues &	25			3
existing tenants/ life working environment.	20	James Spencer	3	4
existing tenants/ life working environment.		Moderated	3	3
Dravida examples of likely problem you envisage to incur and		Ruby Raw	2	4
Provide examples of likely problem you envisage to incur and	25	Laura Frickey	2	4
measures to overcome them, plus evidence of resolving	25	Moderated         3         4           Ruby Raw         3         3           Laura Frickey         3         3           James Spencer         3         4           Moderated         3         3           Ruby Raw         2         4	4	
problem/issues on similar projects		Moderated	2	4
		Ruby Raw	0	0
Descride Health & Sefety seconds for the most 2 years	25	Laura Frickey	0	0
Provide Health & Safety records for the past 2 years	25	James Spencer	2	4
		Moderated	2	4

Score from Quality split

Pricing Score

Total

Rank

20

58

78

30

60

90

### CITY OF LONDON CORPORATION

## CITY SURVEYORS DEPARTMENT PROPERTY PROJECTS GROUP - COST BOOK: WALBROOK WHARF

Project No.

24800026

Project name Walbrook Wharf Re-Roofing Project

Project Type Maintenance / Minor Works

Period	March -Dec 2019

					0 1 -	2 "	<b>C</b> :::
Element		Feasibility	Gateway 1/2	Gateway 3 - 5	Gateway 6	Outturn additions	Cumulative Budget
		Τ			Budget	additions	Биадег
							004.045.00
	Construction			,	0.00	0.00	994,915.00
1.1	Enabling Works	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00
1.2	Main Contractor	0.00	0.00				994,915.00
	Design development	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.7 1.8	Design Development	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.9							
	Professional Fees	0	17,607.50	83,975.00	3,297.50	0.00	104,880.00
2.1	Architect	0.00					0.00
2.2	Interior Designer	0.00	0.00				0.00
2.3	Cost Consultant	0.00	3,787.50				31,826.25
2.4 2.5	Mechanical and Electrical	0.00	0.00 0.00		0.00 0.00		0.00 0.00
2.5 2.6	Structural Engineer Principal Designer	0.00 0.00	500.00				7,425.00
2.0 2.9	Project Management / Contract Administrator	0.00	3,750.00				7,425.00 50,628.75
3	Fire Consultant	0.00	0.00	•			0.00
3.1	Catering Consultant	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
3.2	Acoustics Consultant	0.00	0.00				0.00
3.3	AV Consultant	0.00	0.00				0.00
3.4	Lighting Consultant	0.00	0.00				0.00
3.5	BREEAM	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
3.6	Measured Survey	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
3.7	Basement Plan	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
3.8	Drainage and Invert Levels	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
3.9	CCTV Survey	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
.10'	Stat Surveys (PHA, EA)	0.00	0.00				0.00
.11	Landscape Consultant	0.00	0.00				0.00
.12	CDMA	0.00	0.00				0.00
.13	Communications Consultant	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
3.14	Ecology Consultant	0.00	2,410.00				2,410.00
3.15	Arup - Record Drawings	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
3.16	Planning Consultant	0.00	0.00				0.00
3.17 3.18	Structural Survey R&D Survey	0.00 0.00	4,750.00 2,410.00				4,750.00 7,840.00
3.10 3.19	R&D Survey Making good	0.00					0.00
. 10	Consequential Fees	0.00		2,000.00			4,000.00
4.1	Construction Legal Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
4.2	Consents (Port Health Authority)	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
4.3	Agents	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
1.4	Marketing	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
4.5	Stamp Duty	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
4.6	Relocation	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
1.7	Planning Fees	0.00		1,000.00			1,000.00
1.8	Building Control		1,000.00	1,000.00			3,000.00
1.9	City of London Internal costs	0.00	0.00				0.00
: 1	IT Costs	U	0.00	<b>5,500.00</b> 0.00	<b>1,000.00</b> 0.00	0.00	<b>15,500.00</b> 0.00
5.1 5.2	DBE		0.00				0.00
5.3	Other		0.00		0.00		0.00
5.4	Other		0.00		0.00		0.00
5. <del>4</del> 5.5	Staff Costs		9,000.00		1,000.00		15,500.00
5.6	Cidii Goole		0,000.00	0,000.00	1,000.00		0.00
5.7							0.00
5.8							0.00
	Risk Allowance	0	0	292,705.00	0.00	0.00	292,705.00
5.1	Coste Risk Provision	0.00	0.00				292,705.00
5.2	Construction Risk	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
3.3	Inflation Allowance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
6.4	Survey Risk	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00
5.5	CoL Delay Risk	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
.6	Progamme Risk	0.00	0.00				0.00
5.7		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
5.8							0.00
3.9							0.00
7	Subtotal	0	27607.5	1,379,095.00	5,297.50	0.00	0.00 <b>1,412,000.00</b>
•	CANICIUI	1	21001.5	.,0.0,000.00	-,		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
8							0.00
		I					
Grand T	otal - Capital Investment Costs		27607.5	1,379,095.00	5,297.50	0.00	1,412,000.00